

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/626,695	07/25/2003	Ronald Hubert Carlos Cornelissen	0142-0419P	2677
	7590 09/06/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIR	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			HU, HENRY S	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/626,695	CORNELISSEN ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Henry S. Hu	1713			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1				
Period fo	· ·					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: .136(a). In no event, however, may a street will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on Ame	endment of July 19, 2007.				
2a)⊠	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allows	this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
· ·	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application	า.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,				
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc		by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		*			
11) 🗌 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	·	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	•				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	received.			
		·				
Attachment	t(s)	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/626,695

Art Unit: 1713

- 1. It is noted that USPTO has received **Amendment** filed on July 19, 2007. With such an amendment, **Claims 1, 4 and 7 were amended, while no claim was cancelled or added.** To be more specific, parent **Claim 1** was narrowed down to use a nonoxygen-containing spacer only, while the amendment of dependent Claims 4 and 7 is done so as to overcome claim objections raised by Examiner.
- 2. The Examiner thereby withdraws claim objections in the previous Office Action dated March 23, 2007. Claims 1-11 with two independent claims (Claim 1 and Claim 11) are now pending, while all nonelected Groups II-IV (Claims 8-11) are still withdrawn from consideration. An action follows.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Argument

3. Applicant's argument filed on July 19, 2007 has been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The focal arguments related to the patentability will be addressed as follows: Such an amendment involves <u>only one thing</u> on parent Claim 1 as: <u>to use only a nonoxygen-containing spacer</u> instead of using any type spacer, which extends over at least three atoms.

After a very close consideration, 102 (b) rejection by Chaouk is withdrawn since the spacer contains the required bivalent group -NHCOO-. However, other 102 and 103 rejections are sustained as follows:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/626,695

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 1713

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

6. The limitation of parent Claim 1 in present invention relates to <u>a cross-linkable</u>

<u>compound</u> comprising a perfluoropolyether (PFPE) moiety, which is ultimately terminated by

an oxygen atom and bonded through a "<u>nonoxygen-containing" spacer</u> attached to the said

oxygen atom with an ethylenically unsaturated group, wherein the <u>spacer extends over at</u>

<u>least three atoms</u> between the oxygen atom and the ethylenically unsaturated group.

See other limitations of dependent Claims 2-7.

- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tarumi et al. (US 5,837,774) or under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi et al. (US 6,673,887 B2 with an effective US filing date of June 22, 2000) for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 6-7 of office action dated 3-23-2007 as well as the discussion below.
- 8. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tarumi et al. (US 5,837,774), Yamaguchi et al. (US 6,673,887 B2) and Chaouk et al. (US 6,160,030) in combination for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 11-12 of office action dated 1-25-2007 as well as the discussion below.
- 9. Regarding the <u>cross-linkable monomeric compound</u> limitation in parent Claim 1, each of <u>two</u> references including 102(b) by Tarumi and 102(e) by Yamaguchi has already explicitly disclosed and/or implicitly suggested the preparation of a curable fluoropolyether rubber

Application/Control Number: 10/626,695

Art Unit: 1713

composition by comprising a straight chain fluoropolyether compound, which has at least two alkenyl groups in the molecule and having a divalent perfluoropolyether structure in its backbone chain. Current amendment involves only one thing on parent Claim 1 as: to use only a nonoxygen-containing spacer instead of any type spacer, which is required to extend over at least three atoms.

- 10. In a very close examination, a spacer group is commonly existed in between alkenyl group and the linear PFPE moiety. It is found that "at least some of many different spacer groups" used by Tarumi and Yamaguchi indeed carry carbon atoms with no oxygen atom at all. For instance, see Tarumi at column 3, lines 8-9 (see the second formula with a spacer having three carbon atoms); also see Yamaguchi at column 3, lines 18-19 (see the second formula with a spacer having three carbon atoms).
- spacer with at least three atoms" may include linear-type extension and branched-type extension as ling as it contain at least three atoms with no oxygen at all. Examiner fully understands that Applicants two quite different type ethylenically unsaturated groups disclosed on Claim 4 contain no oxygen atom. However, current broad scope on the ethylenically unsaturated group in parent Claim 1 may contain oxygen atom according to MPEP. For instance, the ethylenically unsaturated groups used by Tarumi and Yamaguchi in formula #2 is O-CH₂-CH=CH₂.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,695 Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

12. In summary, 102 (b) rejection by Chaouk is thereby withdrawn since the spacer contains the required bivalent group -NHCOO-. However, other 102 and 103 rejections are sustained since at least some of the crosslinkable compounds disclosed by Tarumi and Yamaguchi still read on current limitation of parent Claim 1.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment <u>necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this</u>

Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/626,695 Page 7

Art Unit: 1713

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Dr. Henry S. Hu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1103**. The

examiner can be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for all regular

communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry S. Hu

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1713, USPTO

August 29, 2007

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}}$

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700